

## **DECISION TO STRIKE OUT**

Case no. CH/98/713

A. J.

against

# BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA and THE FEDERATION OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

The Human Rights Chamber for Bosnia and Herzegovina, sitting as the Second Panel on 6 April 2000 with the following members present:

Mr. Andrew GROTRIAN, Acting President

Mr. Dietrich RAUSCHNING

Mr. Hasan BALIĆ

Mr. Rona AYBAY

Mr. Želimir JUKA

Mr. Miodrag PAJIĆ

Mr. Anders MÅNSSON, Registrar

Ms. Olga KAPIĆ, Deputy Registrar

Having considered the aforementioned application introduced pursuant to Article VIII(1) of the Human Rights Agreement ("the Agreement") set out in Annex 6 to the General Framework Agreement for Peace in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Adopts the following decision pursuant to Article VIII(3) of the Agreement and Rules 49(2) and 52 of the Chamber's Rules of Procedure:

#### I. FACTS

1. On 3 April 1992 the applicant concluded a purchase contract for a Yugoslav National Army (JNA) apartment at Oktobarske revolucije St. No. 27 in Tuzla, having paid the purchase price on 12 February 1992. The applicant has not initiated court proceedings to have him registered as the owner of the apartment. A decree with force of law, issued on 3 February 1995 by the Presidency of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina, ordered courts and other state authorities to adjourn proceedings relating to the purchase of apartments from the JNA. Legislation passed shortly after the General Framework Agreement came into force on 14 December 1995 annulled the purchase contract.

#### II. COMPLAINTS

2. The applicant alleges violations of his right to peaceful enjoyment of his possessions pursuant to Article 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the Convention.

### III. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE CHAMBER

- 3. The application was introduced to the Chamber on 22 June 1998 and registered on the same date. The applicant also introduced an application to the Office of the Human Rights Ombudsperson for Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- 4. On 6 December 1999 the Ombudsperson and the Chamber advised the applicant, by registered mail, that it was not possible for both institutions to deal with his case and invited him to submit a statement on whether he wished the Chamber or the Ombudsperson to process his case. On 13 January 2000 the applicant informed the Ombudsperson that he intended to pursue his application before that institution.

## IV. OPINION OF THE CHAMBER

- 5. According to Article VIII(3) of the Agreement, the Chamber may at any point decide to strike out an application on the ground that the applicant does not intend to pursue his application or that, for any other reason established by the Chamber, it is no longer justified to continue the examination. However, a decision to strike out an application must be consistent with the objective of respect for human rights.
- 6. According to the applicant's letter to the Ombudsperson, he no longer wishes to pursue the application before the Chamber. In these circumstances, the Chamber does not find it inconsistent with the objective of respect for human rights to strike out the application.

### V. CONCLUSION

7. For these reasons, the Chamber, unanimously,

STRIKES OUT THE APPLICATION.

(signed) Anders MÅNSSON Registrar of the Chamber (signed) Andrew GROTRIAN Acting President of the First Panel