



The Human Rights Advisory Panel

Building D, UNMIK HQ Prishtinë/Priština, Kosovo | E-mail: hrap-unmik@un.org | Tel: +381 (0)38 504-604, ext. 5182

DECISION

Date of adoption: 26 November 2011

Case No. 205/09

Vidna JEVRIĆ

against

UNMIK

The Human Rights Advisory Panel sitting on 26 November 2011,
with the following members present:

Mr Marek NOWICKI, Presiding Member
Mr Paul LEMMENS
Ms Christine CHINKIN

Assisted by
Mr Andrey ANTONOV, Executive Officer

Having considered the aforementioned complaint, introduced pursuant to Section 1.2 of UNMIK Regulation No. 2006/12 of 23 March 2006 on the Establishment of the Human Rights Advisory Panel,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

I. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PANEL

1. The complaint was introduced on 1 April 2009 and registered on 30 April 2009.
2. On 17 June 2009, the Panel requested the complainant to submit additional information. On 19 June 2010, in a follow-up telephone conversation from the Panel, the complainant informed that she did not receive the Panel's letter dated 17 June

2009, but she would respond if the letter was re-sent. On 2 July 2010, the Panel re-sent its request for additional information. The complainant did not respond.

II. THE FACTS

3. The complainant is a Kosovo resident currently residing in Serbia. Although the complainant did not provide any details in her application to the Panel, the supplementary documentation that accompanied the application relates to a decision of the Housing and Property Claims Commission dated 22 October 2004, according to which Mr Radomir Jevrić satisfied the requirements for repossession of property in Pejë/Peć. Since the complainant did not provide any further facts in her application, it is unclear how this decision relates to herself or to any human rights violations that she suffered in this matter.

III. THE COMPLAINT

4. The complainant alleges that the human rights afforded her by the International Covenant on Economic and Cultural Rights have been violated. However, she does not specify how these rights have been violated or by whom.

IV. THE LAW

5. Before considering the case on its merits the Panel has to decide whether to accept the case, taking into account the admissibility criteria set out in Sections 1, 2 and 3 of UNMIK Regulation No. 2006/12.
6. Pursuant to Section 3.3 of the Regulation the Panel shall declare inadmissible any complaint which it considers incompatible with the human rights set out in the human rights instruments within the Panel's jurisdiction, or which it considers manifestly ill-founded.
7. The complaint lacks any specific details or information which would allow the Panel to determine whether a human rights violation may have occurred.
8. In these circumstances the Panel holds the complaint to be unsubstantiated and therefore manifestly ill-founded.

FOR THESE REASONS,

The Panel, unanimously,

DECLARES THE COMPLAINT INADMISSIBLE.

Andrey ANTONOV
Executive Officer

Marek NOWICKI
Presiding Member